

- Jake Tapper Sold His Soul to Pharma**
- Liberal Morality**
- Wisconsin Election Fraud**
- Researcher Andrew Hill's Conflict**
- Letter from the Editor**
- NY Times Editor Dies After Booster**
- Sorry Democrats, Civil War Unlikely**
- WHO Release Plan for Global Digital Passport**
- Is America Heading for a Systems Collapse**

Patriot Press online: www.ColoradoPatriots4Action/



Edition 2, Volume 2 February 2022



The Day Jake Tapper Sold His Soul to Pharma

There's a reason CNN's Jake Tapper is intent on branding me a "menace" and "a liar," and why he refuses to debate me on the merits of facts and scientific evidence. Here's the back story...

Robert F. Kennedy — 1/6/2022

Apparently, appalled by robust sales of my bestseller, "The Real Anthony Fauci," CNN anchor Jake Tapper — in lieu of critically reviewing the work — used his Twitter feed to unleash a barrage of ad hominem insults against me.

Breaking with the traditional restraints of journalistic neutrality, professional propriety and intellectual rigor, he branded me "dangerous," a "menace," a "liar," a "grifter," a fraud, "unhinged" and more.

But Tapper's defamations hang in the atmosphere without substantiation or citation. If I'm a liar, then what was my lie? If I'm a grifter, then what is my personal profit or advantage? If I am a fraud, then where is my inaccurate statement?

I concede that I'm a dangerous menace, but only to the pharmaceutical industry, its capitechnocrats and its media toadies.

When I responded to his slander with



Pharma's Special Friend!

a respectful tweet inviting him to debate me, Tapper declined, explaining he would not debate a "conspiracy theorist." Characteristically, he neglected to cite any conspiracy theory he believes I promoted.

And is it credible to dismiss me as a conspiracy theorist unworthy of debate? After all, I am founder and former president of the world's largest water protection group, and founder and current chairman of one of the largest children's health advocacy groups.

I've won hundreds of successful lawsuits, including milestone victories against Monsanto, DuPont, Exxon, Smithfield Foods and leading polluters from the chemical, carbon, pharmaceutical and agricultural industries. (Many of these also initially dismissed me as a "conspiracy theorist.")

My current book, "The Real Anthony Fauci," may be the most heavily footnoted volume to

ever sit atop global best-seller lists for six consecutive weeks. With 500,000 copies sold, it has attracted a whopping 5,500+ five-star reviews (92%).

Despite extreme hostility toward this volume from mainstream media and the medical cartel, no one has yet identified a factual inaccuracy in its 250,000 words.

If my book is baseless conspiracy theories, then shouldn't Mr. Tapper welcome an opportunity to correct me with facts or arguments that go beyond name-calling?

Allow me, then, to offer my own theory for Mr. Tapper's apoplexy.

Many people make Faustian bargains during their lives, trading personal integrity for material advantage. Oftentimes the metamorphosis occurs as a gradual erosion of moral fiber. Occasionally it happens in an instant; a man stands at a moral crossroads and chooses the dark side.

I happened to have a front-row seat when Jake Tapper had his moment of moral crisis. I'm guessing his fierce vitriol toward me is a reaction to his embarrassment that I was witness to the instant when Mr. Tapper chose career over character.

In July 2005, Jake Tapper was ABC's senior producer when the network ordered him to pull a lengthy exposé on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) secret 2000 Simpsonwood conference.

Continued on page 2.

Jake Tapper continued from page 1

I've won hundreds of successful lawsuits, including milestone victories against Monsanto, DuPont, Exxon, Smithfield Foods and leading polluters from the chemical, carbon, pharmaceutical and agricultural industries. (Many of these also initially dismissed me as a "conspiracy theorist.")

My current book, "The Real Anthony Fauci," may be the most heavily footnoted volume to ever sit atop global best-seller lists for six consecutive weeks. With 500,000 copies sold, it has attracted a whopping 5,500+ five-star reviews (92%).

Despite extreme hostility toward this volume from mainstream media and the medical cartel, no one has yet identified a factual inaccuracy in its 250,000 words.

If my book is baseless conspiracy theories, then shouldn't Mr. Tapper welcome an opportunity to correct me with facts or arguments that go beyond name-calling?

Allow me, then, to offer my own theory for Mr. Tapper's apoplexy.

Many people make Faustian bargains during their lives, trading personal integrity for material advantage. Oftentimes the metamorphosis occurs as a gradual erosion of moral fiber. Occasionally it happens in an instant; a man stands at a moral crossroads and chooses the dark side.

I happened to have a front-row seat when Jake Tapper had his moment of moral crisis. I'm guessing his fierce vitriol toward me is a reaction to his embarrassment that I was witness to the instant when Mr. Tapper chose career over character.

In July 2005, Jake Tapper was ABC's senior producer when the network ordered him to pull a lengthy exposé on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) secret 2000 Simpsonwood conference.

Here is the background:

In 1999, in response to exploding epidemics of autism and other neurological disorders, CDC decided to study its vast Vaccine Safety Datalink — the medical and vaccination record of millions of Americans, archived by the top HMOs — to learn whether the dramatic escalation of the vaccine schedule, beginning in 1989, was a culprit. CDC's in-house epidemiologist, Thomas Verstraeten, led the effort.

Verstraeten's initial data run suggested that mercury-containing hepatitis B vaccines — administered during the first month of life — were associated with a wide range of neuro-

logical injuries, including a dramatic 1,135% rise in autism risks among vaccinated children.

Verstraeten's findings propelled CDC into DEFCON 1. The agency's top vaccine officials summoned 52 pharmaceutical industry leaders, the foremost vaccinologists from academia and the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), and public health regulators from the National Institutes of Health, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), CDC, World Health Organization (WHO) and European Medicines Agency to a secret two-day meeting at the remote Simpsonwood retreat center in Norcross, Georgia, to strategize about how to hide these awful revelations from the public.

In 2005, I obtained the explosive transcripts of this meeting and was about to publish excerpts in Rolling Stone (Deadly Immunity, July 18, 2005). Those recordings, ironically, portrayed these leading kingpins of the vaccine cartel poised at their own moral brink, and chronicled their collapse into corruption over two sickening days of debate.

Most of these individuals were physicians and regulatory officials who had committed their lives to public health out of idealism and deep concern for children. Verstraeten's data confronted them with the fact that the cumulative mercury levels in all those new vaccines they had recommended had overdosed a generation of American children with mercury concentrations over a hundred times the exposures the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency considered safe.

In recommending a vast battery of new vaccines for children, public health regulators had somehow neglected to calculate the cumulative mercury and aluminum loads in all the new jabs.

Dr. Peter Patriarca, the then-director of the FDA Office on Vaccine Research and Review, expressed the general feeling of horror when he asked why no one had calculated the cumulative mercury exposure to children as policymakers added this cascade of new vaccines to the childhood schedule: "Conversion of the percentage thimerosal to actual micrograms of mercury involves ninth-grade algebra. What took the FDA so long to do the calculations?"

In the tense days leading up to the Simpsonwood conclave, children's health champion Dr. Ruth Etzel of the EPA pleaded with her fellow public health leaders to publicly admit they made a terrible mistake by inadvertently poisoning American children, and to repair the damage.

Dr. Etzel urged AAP and the government regulators to handle the crisis with the same honesty and public remorse that Johnson & Johnson had demonstrated on discovering toxic chemicals in its Tylenol formulations:

"We must follow three basic rules: (1) act quickly to inform pediatricians that the products have more mercury than we realized; (2) be open with consumers about why we didn't catch this earlier; (3) show contrition. If the public loses faith in the Public Health Services recommendations, then the immunization battle will falter. To keep faith, we must be open and honest and move forward quickly to replace these products."

Confronted with scientific proof of their role in the chronic disease calamity, the cabal did exactly the opposite. The shocking Simpsonwood transcripts show Dr. Patriarca and the other public health panjandrums warning each other of their reputational liabilities, their vulnerability to litigation by plaintiffs' lawyers and potential damage to the vaccine program.

Dr. Patriarca cautioned that public disclosure of CDC's explosive findings would make Americans feel that the FDA, CDC and vaccine policymakers had been "asleep at the switch" for decades in allowing Thimerosal to remain in childhood vaccines.

Over two days of intense discussion, these Big Pharma operatives and government technocrats persuaded each other to transform their disastrous error into villainy — by doubling down and hiding their mistake from the public.

Tapper saw an early draft of my Rolling Stone story and proposed that, in exchange for exclusivity, he would do a companion piece for ABC timed to air on the magazine's publication day.

Continued on page 12



Liberal Morality Identical to Core Tenets of Satanic Temple

It is repeatedly claimed by conservatives that ‘so-and-so is satanic,’ as liberals continue and push on with their destruction of the moral foundations of the western world. To liberals, this may come off as hard to accept, while others will boast of its accuracy, nonetheless, this article will prove how the liberal moral worldview is entirely adopted from satanism.

While gender dysphoria becomes institutionalized, career criminals like George Floyd are elected to saint status, and Churches across the western world repeatedly ‘spontaneously combust’ with little to no inquiry into who might have lit them ablaze, the claim that what is happening around the world is ‘satanic,’ which to many is thought to be hyperbole, is far more accurate than those who make the claim might understand.

The Satanic Temple is the single most popular satanic organization in the world, with dozens of chapters throughout North America, Europe & Australia.

The Satanic Temple is a tax-exempt ‘religious’ organization, meaning it is recognized as a religious organization by the U.S. government, granting the organization — and their beliefs — specific legal protections.

Abortion, for instance, which has been widely adopted as one of the single greatest issues motivating liberal & democrat voters worldwide, is not only a concern for the Satanic Temple, it is one of their religious rituals, which according to them, grants the organization immunity from new regulation set to inhibit its frequency.

There are seven ‘fundamental tenets’ of the satanic temple, both casting belief in stone while also leaving the individual, due to vague wording, ultimate authority in how one might live according to the rules of the satanic temple.

Now that you have read the seven foundational tenets of the Satanic Temple, we will go through each one of them, providing recent and relevant examples of the democrat party, and more broadly, how the motivations of liberals, generally, wholly align with the fundamental beliefs of satanism.

THERE ARE SEVEN
FUNDAMENTAL TENETS

I One should strive to act with compassion and empathy toward all creatures in accordance with reason.

II The struggle for justice is an ongoing and necessary pursuit that should prevail over laws and institutions.

III One's body is inviolable, subject to one's own will alone.

IV The freedoms of others should be respected, including the freedom to offend. To willfully and unjustly encroach upon the freedoms of another is to forgo one's own.

V Beliefs should conform to one's best scientific understanding of the world. One should take care never to distort scientific facts to fit one's beliefs.

VI People are fallible. If one makes a mistake, one should do one's best to rectify it and resolve any harm that might have been caused.

VII Every tenet is a guiding principle designed to inspire nobility in action and thought. The spirit of compassion, wisdom, and justice should always prevail over the written or spoken word.

**Pretty Words, right?
Let's see what they really mean.**

- **1. One should strive to act with compassion and empathy toward all creatures in accordance with reason.**

Due to vague wording, the first tenet of the satanic temple can be interpreted in many ways, as the reader is prompted with suggestive language like the word ‘should.’ This tenet of the satanic temple likens man to all creatures, acting as an equity program of sorts for all creatures, diminishing man’s place in the world, likening him to an animal.

The idea that man is not special, plays into the hands of ‘climate justice’ organizations who’ve set out to limit man’s accomplishments in the name of climate welfare. This of course has animated liberal voters from around the world, setting them out into the streets and shuffling into the voting booths.

Vegans understand this very well, as satanism flourishes within alternative diet communities such as veganism, as highlighted by an [article](#) published by TheReasonedVegan.

Continued on page 4.



Retiring from public office, 2022			
Name	Party	State	Announced
Richard Burr	●	North Carolina	7/20/16
Pat Toomey	●	Pennsylvania	10/5/20
Rob Portman	●	Ohio	1/25/21
Richard Shelby	●	Alabama	2/8/21
Roy Blunt	●	Missouri	3/8/21
Patrick Leahy	●	Vermont	11/15/21
Jerry McNerney	●	California	1/18/22
Jim Langevin	●	Rhode Island	1/18/22
John Katko	●	New York	1/14/22
Trey Hollingsworth	●	Indiana	1/12/22
Ed Perlmutter	●	Colorado	1/10/22
Brenda Lawrence	●	Michigan	1/4/22
Bobby Rush	●	Illinois	1/3/22
Albio Sires	●	New Jersey	12/21/21
Lucille Roybal-Allard	●	California	12/21/21
Stephanie Murphy	●	Florida	12/20/21
Alan Lowenthal	●	California	12/16/21
Peter DeFazio	●	Oregon	12/1/21
G.K. Butterfield	●	North Carolina	11/19/21
Jackie Speier	●	California	11/16/21
Adam Kinzinger	●	Illinois	10/29/21
Michael Doyle	●	Pennsylvania	10/18/21
David Pre	●	North Carolina	10/18/21
John Yarmuth	●	Kentucky	10/12/21
Anthony Gonzalez	●	Ohio	9/16/21
Ron Kind	●	Wisconsin	8/10/21
Cheri Bustos	●	Illinois	4/30/21
Kevin Brady	●	Texas	4/14/21
Filemon Vela	●	Texas	3/22/21
Tom Reed	●	New York	3/21/21
Ann Kirkpatrick	●	Arizona	3/12/21
Eddie Berne Johnson	●	Texas	10/9/19

https://ballotpedia.org/List_of_U.S._Congress_incumbents_who_are_not_running_for_re-election_in_2022

Liberal Morality, continued from page 3

2. The struggle for justice is an ongoing and necessary pursuit that should prevail over laws and institutions.

The second tenet of the satanic temple explains how the concept of ‘justice’ supersedes law and institutions. This is where the phrase “**No Justice No Peace**” originates.

We have seen this way of thought in protest movements such as Black Lives Matter and Antifa, who act with impunity. During the violent riots of 2020 where these groups inflicted hundreds of millions of dollars in property damage, murdered dozens of innocent individuals and assaulted countless others, the battle cry was ‘justice.’

Similarly, judges and prosecutors whose political dispositions align with such groups take the law into their own hands, releasing these same rioters back out into the streets without even a slap on the wrist. This too is done in the name of a new idea of ‘justice’ that supersedes the legal definition of the term.

3. One’s body is inviolable, subject to one’s own will alone.

This tenet of the satanic temple explains that a man or woman may do whatever they please with their own body, with this ‘right’ never to be broken, This belief of course is found in the liberal slogan of “**My Body My Choice**,” which has become the official battle cry of abortionists and their allies.



Why don't Vaccines Count as My Body, My Choice?

Abortion, which is a religious ritual within the satanic temple, has been adopted as a foundational motivation for liberal voters around the world.

4. The freedoms of others should be respected, including the freedom to offend. To willfully and unjustly encroach upon the freedoms of another is to forgo one’s own.

The fourth tenet of the satanic temple, of course, has not aged well, as freedom around the world has crumbled during the

age of mass-online censorship and COVID regulation. Though, just as with the new concept of ‘justice’ and how it supersedes laws and institutions, the new idea of ‘freedom’ espoused by the satanic temple is designed to apply only to those whose beliefs align with theirs.

When a homosexual couple asked a Christian baker to bake them a cake, she refused, prompting the couple to take the bakery to court. Unbeknownst to the baker, the fourth tenet of the satanic temple had been broken.

The ‘freedom to offend’ applies solely to their own ideas of what is or is not offensive. This is where the concept of ‘words equal violence’ has gained ground. Today, the freedom to offend applies only to liberals, while conservatives and Christians are not granted such graces.

5. Beliefs should conform to one’s best scientific understanding of the world. One should take care never to distort scientific facts to fit one’s beliefs.

This is precisely where the liberal slogan of “**TRUST THE SCIENCE**” originates.

In an article posted by [TheGuardian](#) titled “Devil’s advocate: are satanists now the good guys in the fight against the evangelical right?” the argument is made that satanists are the rational trusters of science, prompting praise from liberal news outlets from around the world.

It cannot be understated how mainstream media outlets are suggesting satanists are now ‘the good guys.’

6. People are fallible. If one makes a mistake, one should do one’s best to rectify it and resolve any harm that might have been caused.

The sixth tenet of the satanic temple speaks directly to the liberal worldview of apologizing for perceived past ‘injustices’ such as colonization or slavery. This is where the idea of **reparations** got its wings.

7. Every tenet is a guiding principle designed to inspire nobility in action and thought. The spirit of compassion, wisdom, and justice should always prevail over the written or spoken word.


This is where the concept of ‘facts don’t

care about your feelings’ has failed to negate the widely adopted liberal belief that “**Feelings Don’t Care About Your Facts**.”

Every ‘liberal freakout’ video you have ever seen on YouTube is textbook Demonic Possession, and it is foundational to the beliefs of satanists. The seventh tenet of the satanic temple institutionalizes and encourages a disregard for fact, replacing it with feelings of compassion, the new concept of justice, and the arrogance of ‘trusting the science.’

Editor’s Note: The 7th tenet basically says the written word of the Bible should take a back seat to Liberal compassion, wisdom, and justice. That same wisdom that says you don’t deserve healthcare if you remain un-jabbed.

www.dailyveracity.com/2021/12/31/yes-democrats-are-actually-satanic-heres-the-proof-7-foundational-tenets-of-satanic-temple-perfectly-align-with-liberal-worldview/



Blocking children's airways harms their growing and developing brains, teeth, heart and lungs.

We The Media ★★★★★
Forwarded from [Where We Go 1 We Go All](#)

The same people who fell for the "2 weeks to slow the spread" lie (which turned into 2 to 3 months) then fell for the "Just take these 2 shots and you can return to your life" lie.

They took them.

And were promptly instructed that they could still catch Covid, Still Spread Covid, have to continue wearing masks and need more shots...

These brainiacs are Now telling US that if WE take OUR shots too this tyranny will end...

Tyranny NEVER ends... It uses gullible fools to bully sensible people into accepting horrid policies "in the name of safety" in order to push the goal posts as far a humanly possible.... this is then where they stay.

There's never a return.

This is historical fact.

Reconcile.

JUDGE OVERSEEING WISCONSIN ELECTION FRAUD PROBE ISSUES NEARLY 100 SUBPOENAS TO INDIVIDUALS INVOLVED IN 2020 ELECTION

Timothy Fudd, January 3, 2022



Wisconsin Special Counsel Michael Gableman is issuing 70 subpoenas to Wisconsin state employees and entities, mayoral staffers, IT departments, private companies, and special interest groups who were involved in the 2020 election.

Gableman's latest move shows that Wisconsin's election audit is far from complete, showing a far different story from that reported by the mainstream media.

As a former Wisconsin Supreme Court Justice, Michael Gableman was appointed by Speaker of the Wisconsin State Assembly Robin Vos to serve as special counsel in an investigation into the 2020 election in Wisconsin.

Gableman's investigation is currently looking into the role that Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg played in the contested presiden-

tial election by donating [massive amounts of money](#) to the [Center for Tech and Civic Life](#). It is also exploring the actions of the Wisconsin Election Commission as well as Wisconsin mayors and clerks. Not stopping there, Gableman's investigation is also reviewing the integrity of [voting systems](#), and various other issues in the 2020 election.

This comes after the Wisconsin election audit revealed a massive number of [fraudulent votes](#) were counted in the 2020 election by "active voters" registered for over 100 years and by inactive voters.

While the mainstream media and Democrats have been quick to label Gableman's special counsel as "partisan," the evidence unveiled by the Wisconsin investigation points to legitimate reason for carefully examining what happened in the 2020 election.

President Donald Trump revealed he is watching this audit closely in a recent statement where he [said](#), "All eyes are on Wisconsin as they begin their election audit," The 45th president added, "Hopefully Republican Speaker Robin Vos has the integrity and strength Wisconsin needs to support Rep. Brandtjen's efforts. Our Country is counting on it!"

While the mainstream media and Democrats have been quick to label Gableman's special counsel as "partisan," the evidence unveiled by the Wisconsin investigation points to legitimate reason for carefully examining what happened in the 2020 election.

President Donald Trump revealed he is watching this audit closely in a recent statement where he [said](#), "All eyes are on Wisconsin as they begin their election audit," The 45th president added, "Hopefully Republican Speaker Robin Vos has the integrity and strength Wisconsin needs to support Rep. Brandtjen's efforts. Our Country is counting on it!"

Unlike most states, Wisconsin's elections are not managed by the secretary of state. In Wisconsin, the Government Accountability Board manages the election in conjunction with almost 2,000 municipal clerks, over 70 county clerks, and multiple election inspectors. This means that the election audit in Wisconsin is not

as cut-and-dried as those conducted in other states.

Gableman is now reportedly investigating many of these clerks, as well as mayors and staff members. The focus on the latest subpoenas is centered around the use of the CTCL's money. Already, multiple reports have shown that Mark Zuckerberg's CTCL contributions were disproportionately used to benefit Democrats. Gableman's special



Some people wouldn't know tyranny if it covered their faces, locked them in their homes, enacted the biggest wealth transfer in history, censored them, made them show papers, and force medicated them

People are asleep and their alarms keep ringing.

Don't hit the snooze button. Stay aware.

counsel will continue to investigate these issues, despite Democrats calling for an end to the investigation.

According to a source at [The Western Journal](#), the latest subpoenas Gableman sent out were all for the Wisconsin Election Commission, mayoral staffers, IT records, and other entities in the state of Wisconsin. However, Gableman's commission has indicated that other subpoenas could be sent out in the future.

The outlet reports Gableman's intention with his latest set of subpoenas is to "obtain records, depositions and more information on the election process and organizations that seem to have had a hand in the administration of elections."

As the Wisconsin election audit continues into 2022, Americans eagerly await the results.

<https://rsbnetwork.com/news/judge-overseeing-wisconsin-election-fraud-probe-issues-nearly-100-subpoenas-to-individuals-involved-in-2020-election/>

Liz Harrington
@realLizUSA



In Wisconsin, there are 119,283 "active voters" who have been registered for over 100 years!

The number is over 500K when you add in inactive voters

Third world country voter registrations!



1:01 PM · Dec 8, 2021



Researcher Andrew Hill's conflict: A \$40 million Gates Foundation grant vs a half million human lives

by **WorldTribune Staff**, December 9, 2021

In a stunning admission, virologist Dr. Andrew Hill acknowledged in a zoom call that publication of his study could lead to the deaths of at least a half million people.

In defending his reversal on the effectiveness of ivermectin as a treatment for COVID-19, he discussed his “difficult situation” and said, “I’ve got this role where I’m supposed to produce this paper and we’re in a very difficult, delicate balance.”

incident is recounted in Robert Kennedy Jr’s New York Times Bestseller, [The Real Anthony Fauci: Bill Gates, Big Pharma, and the global war on Democracy and Public Health](#).

Andrew Hill, PhD, is a senior visiting Research Fellow in Pharmacology at Liverpool University. He is also an advisor for the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Clinton Foundation. As a researcher for the WHO evaluating ivermectin, Hill wielded enormous influence over international guidance for the drug’s use.

Hill had previously authored a analysis of ivermectin as a treatment for COVID-19 that found the drug overwhelmingly effective.

On Jan. 6 of 2021, Hill testified enthusiastically before the NIH COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines Panel in support of ivermectin’s use. Within a month, however, Hill found himself in what he describes as a “tricky situation.” Under pressure from his funding sponsors, Hill then published an unfavorable study. Ironically, he used the same sources as in the original study. Only the conclusions had changed.

Shortly before he published, Dr. Tess Lawrie, Director of the Evidence-based Medicine Consultancy in Bath, England, and one of the world’s leading medical research analysts, contacted Hill via Zoom and recorded the call (transcript below). Lawrie had learned of his new position and reached out to try to rectify the situation.

In a remarkable exchange, a transcript of which appears on pages 137 – 143 in Kennedy’s book, Hill admitted his manipulated study would likely delay the uptake of ivermectin in the UK and United States, but said he hoped his doing so would only set the lifesaving drug’s acceptance back by about “six weeks,” after which he was willing to give his support for its use.

Hill affirmed that the rate of death at that time was 15,000 people per day. At the 80 percent recovery rate using the drug, which Hill and Lawrie discussed earlier in the call,



the number of preventable deaths incurred by such a delay would be staggering — as many as 504,000.

Lawrie was unable to persuade Hill, who instead of joining her team as lead author, went ahead and published his manipulated findings.

Four days before publication, Hill’s sponsor Unitaid gave the University of Liverpool, Hill’s employer \$40 million. Unitaid, it turns out, was also an author of the conclusions of Hill’s study.

In the call, Lawrie berated Hill’s study as “flawed,” “rushed,” “not properly put together,” and “bad research . . . bad research,” which Hill appears not to have denied.

Instead, when pressed he admitted his sponsor, Unitaid, was an unacknowledged author of conclusions.

“Unitaid has a say in the conclusions of the paper. Yeah,” he told Lawrie.

[Flush Fake Media: Revive the American Free Press](#)

Kennedy explained: “Unitaid is a quasi-governmental advocacy organization funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) and several countries . . . to lobby governments to finance the purchase of medicines from pharmaceutical multinationals” for distribution in Africa.

He reports: “Dr. Lawrie knew that to make its ivermectin determination, WHO would rely on Hill’s study and another study from McMaster University known as the “Together Trial.” McMaster was hopelessly and irredeemably conflicted. NIH gave McMaster \$1,081,541 in 2020 and 2021.61 A separate group of McMaster University scientists was, at that time, engaged in developing their own COVID vaccine—an effort that would never pay dividends if WHO recommended ivermectin as Standard of Care. The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation was funding the massive “Together Trial” testing ivermectin, HCQ, and other potential drugs against COVID, in Brazil and other locations. Critics accused Gates and the McMaster researchers of designing that study to make ivermectin fail.”

In other words, the McMaster researchers, just like Andrew Hill, knew that a positive appraisal of ivermectin would cost their uni-

versity millions of dollars.

In a terse exchange, Lawrie laid out the ethical and personal risks for Hill:

Lawrie: I really, really wish, and you’ve explained quite clearly to me, in both what you’ve been saying and in your body language that you’re not entirely comfortable with your conclusions, and that you’re in a tricky position because of whatever influence people are having on you, and including the people who have paid you and who have basically written that conclusion for you.

Hill: You’ve just got to understand I’m in a difficult position. I’m trying to steer a middle ground and it’s extremely hard.

Lawrie: Yeah. Middle ground. The middle ground is not a middle ground . . . You’ve taken a position right to the other extreme calling for further trials that are going to kill people. So this will come out, and you will be culpable.

Much like “two weeks to flatten the curve,” in the intervening year Hill appears to have gone all-in on a deception originally envisioned to last only six weeks.

Kennedy reports that on Oct. 1, 2021, “Hill resurfaced on Twitter touting his upcoming lecture, ironically titled, ‘Effects of Bias and Potential Medical Fraud in the Promotion of Ivermectin.’”

Dr. Pierre Kory, of the Front Line COVID-19 Critical Care Alliance, commented, “Andrew is apparently making a living now accusing the doctors and scientists who support ivermectin of medical fraud.”

Regulatory acceptance of ivermectin did not delay only six weeks. Instead, almost a year later, it has still not been approved by health agencies in the United Kingdom or in United States. Instead The WHO, CDC, NIH, and FDA have suppressed the drug’s use.

Kory added, “Hill and his backers are some of the worst people in human history. They are responsible for the deaths of millions.”

[For further analysis, see Neville Hodgkinson’s article at the Defender, and Robert Kennedy, Jr.’s New York Times bestseller, [The Real Anthony Fauci: Bill Gates, Big Pharma, and the global war on Democracy and Public Health](#).]

Here is the transcript of the conversation between Lawrie and Hill:

Lawrie: Lots of people are in sensitive positions; they’re in hospital, in ICUs dying, and they need this medicine.

Hill: Well . . .

Lawrie: This is what I don’t get, you know, because you’re not a clinician. You’re not seeing people dying every day. And this medicine prevents deaths by 80 percent. So 80 percent of those people who are dying today don’t need to die because there’s ivermectin.

Continued on page 8

LETTER FROM THE EDITOR

and in car, and who continue to line up for booster jab infinitum come to the realization that they are the sheeple we have been referencing all along.

Imagine the horror of vilifying the once prestigious

expert opinions of physicians, scientists, and researchers who stuck to their hypocritical oath, vowing to "Do No Harm" [while their reputation is being trashed] only for others realize they were the truth-sayers all along. Imagine being that healthcare worker who lined up on the COVID front lines to help people, only to realize you ignored the science you knew all too well from years gone by, that masks don't work, and true vaccines need clinical trials not put upon the public realm. There will be an outcry the likes of which the world has never known in the history of humanity.

Pray for the soul of America and the for those who remain behind the veil of truth. We see commercial media in their last flinches of relevance trying to guide people back to the television news to tell us what to think, see, and believe. Once awake we will never go back under the spell of deceit and lies, and we will NEVER forget.

We must learn to forgive, and to be the shoulder and rock for our families and friends who have disavowed us all, for what they deemed as societal transgressions.

I say this as much for myself as for others, for we have been spurned for making independent health choices in the face of a created virus, and blamed for countless COVID re-infections. But the light is dawning among a choice few who will spread the truth that the vaccine is no such thing, they have no immunities, they can and do spread COVID to each other, and they have been violated in ways the Tenents of Satan celebrates.

Those who chose to trust God's gift of an immune system will be put upon to guide the jabbed back to the creator. I have a long way to go on this too Dear Patriots. Let us seek to be the change we want to see in the world. Ushering our loved ones out of a FEAR mindset will not be an easy path. God Speed, Patriots, and Thank You for Reading and sharing this labor of Faith, Love and Freedom with those who need it most.



Can you feel it in the air? The soul of America has been sold-out and is dying. But don't despair patriots. With the end of one era, comes the birth of another. It is through birth (and re-birth) that we experience God's promise of renewal and redemption. Revelation 11:11 says "And after three days and an half the Spirit of life from God entered into them, and they stood upon their feet; and great fear fell upon them which saw them." I believe God's Revelation is upon us now. I know there are those who do not share the same mindset. Melissa RedPilltheWorld, in her book **END TIMES AND 1000 YEARS OF PEACE** reminds us this is a good thing and that the "end" is only near for those who exercised evil upon the world.

As we watch those "in charge" there is a sense that we are watching a slow-motion fall from power. The interviews by both the Resident and his chief minion are sad, disjointed, incoherent, and the lies are obvious now to even the casual observer. As the COVID narrative falls apart, we brace ourselves for the fury that will surely come when those who dutifully complied with the jab mandates, wearing a mask alone at home

It turns out **TRUTH** has a much slower timeline to give the slow ones time to catch up.

	A year ago	Now
Vaccinated can spread COVID	Hoax	Truth
Vaccinated are susceptible to new variants and reinfection	Hoax	Truth
COVID vaccines can cause blood clots and other serious side effects	Hoax	Truth
3rd and 4th shot	Hoax	Truth
New shots every year/half a year	Hoax	Truth
Shots for young children	Hoax	Truth
Total segregation of the society	Hoax	Truth
Camps for the unvaccinated	Hoax	Truth
Unvaccinated unable to work	Hoax	Truth
Harder access of medical care for unvaccinated	Hoax	Truth
Secret contracts between Pfizer and governments	Hoax	Truth



The CDC just quietly admitted that the vaccines cause myocarditis.




Myocarditis and Myocarditis with Pericarditis (Myopericarditis) Following COVID-19 Vaccination

Myocarditis and myopericarditis following mRNA COVID-19 vaccination

- Evidence from multiple safety monitoring systems in multiple countries supports the finding of an increased risk of myocarditis and myopericarditis following mRNA COVID-19 vaccination*
- Risk:
 - Highest in adolescents and young adults
 - Males > females
 - Following dose 2 > dose 1
- Onset clusters within a few days of vaccination, mostly within a week
- Cases have tended to be clinically mild

*CDC.gov. 2021. Myocarditis and Myopericarditis Following COVID-19 Vaccination. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2021/s211118-covid-vaccine-myocarditis.html

Myocarditis scars the heart. **What happened to Do No Harm?** The risk of heart damage from vaccine is greater than the risk of COVID death.



The only reason the "Covid paranoid moron" brigade is forced to lie continually is because it's all bullshit.

Andrew Hill, Continued from page 6

Hill: There are a lot, as I said, there are a lot of different opinions about this. As I say, some people simply ...

Lawrie: We are looking at the data; it doesn't matter what other people say. We are the ones who are tasked with looking at the data and reassuring everybody that this cheap and effective treatment will save lives. It's clear. You don't have to say, well, so-and-so says this, and so-and-so says that. It's absolutely crystal clear. We can save lives today. If we can get the government to buy ivermectin.

Hill: Well, I don't think it's as simple as that, because you've got trials ...

Lawrie: It is as simple as that. We don't have to wait for studies ... we have enough evidence now that shows that ivermectin saves lives, it prevents hospitalization. It saves the clinical staff going to work every day and being exposed. And frankly, I'm shocked at how you are not taking responsibility for that decision.

And you still haven't told me who is [influencing you]? Who is giving you that opinion? Because you keep saying you're in a sensitive position. I appreciate you are in a sensitive position, if you're being paid for something and you're being told [to support] a certain narrative ... that is a sensitive position.

So, then you kind of have to decide, well, do I take this payment? Because in actual fact, [you] can see [your false] conclusions are going to harm people. So maybe you need to say, I'm not going to be paid for this.

I can see the evidence, and I will join the Cochrane team as a volunteer, like everybody on the Cochrane team is a volunteer. Nobody's being paid for this work.

Hill: I think fundamentally, we're reaching the [same] conclusion about the survival benefit. We're both finding a significant effect on survival.

Lawrie: No, I'm grading my evidence. I'm saying I'm sure of this evidence. I'm saying I'm absolutely sure it prevents deaths. There is nothing as effective as this treatment. What is your reluctance? Whose conclusion is that?

Hill complains again that outsiders are influencing him.

Lawrie: You keep referring to other people. It's like you don't trust yourself. If you were to trust yourself, you would know that you have made an error and you need to correct it because you know, in your heart, that this treatment prevents death.

Hill: Well, I know, I know for a fact that the data right now is not going to get the drug approved.

Lawrie: But, Andy — know this will come out. It will come out that there were all these barriers to the truth being told to the public and to the evidence being presented. So please, this is your opportunity just to acknowledge [the truth] in your review, change your conclusions, and come on board with this Cochrane Review, which will be definitive. It will be the review that shows the



evidence and gives the proof. This was the consensus on Wednesday night's meeting with 20 experts.

Hill protests that the U.S. National Institutes of Health will not agree to recommend ivermectin.

Lawrie: Yeah, because the NIH is owned by the vaccine lobby.

Hill: That's not something I know about.

*"Well, I don't know how you sleep at night, honestly."
~ Dr. Tess Lawrie*

Lawrie: Well, all I'm saying is this smacks of corruption and you are being played.

Hill: I don't think so.

Lawrie: Well then, you have no excuse because your work in that review is flawed. It's rushed. It is not properly put together.

Lawrie points out that **Hill's study ignores a host of clinical outcomes that affect patients.** She scolds Hill for ignoring the beneficial effects of ivermectin as prophylaxis, its effect on speed to testing negative for the virus, on the need for mechanical ventilation, on

reduced admissions to intensive care, and other outcomes that are clinically meaningful.

This is bad research ... bad research. So, at this point, I don't know ... **you seem like a nice guy, but I am really, really worried about you.**

Hill: Okay. Yeah. I mean, it's, it's a difficult situation.

Lawrie: No, you might be in a difficult situation. I'm not, because I have no paymaster. I can tell the truth. How can you deliberately try and mess it up ... you know?

Hill: It's not messing it up. It's saying that we need, we need a short time to look at some more studies.

Lawrie: So, how long are you going to let people carry on dying unnecessarily – up to you? What is, what is the timeline that you've allowed for this, then?

Hill: Well, I think . . . I think that it goes to WHO [World Health Organization] and the NIH [National Institutes of Health] and the FDA [U.S. Food and Drug Administration] and the EMA [European Medicines Agency]. And they've got to decide when they think enough's enough.

Lawrie: How do they decide? Because there's nobody giving them good evidence synthesis, because yours is certainly not good.

Hill: Well, when yours comes out, which will be in the very near future ... at the same time, there'll be other trials producing results, which will nail it with a bit of luck. And we'll be there.

Lawrie: It's already nailed.

Hill: No, that's, that's not the view of the WHO and the FDA.

Lawrie: You'd rather risk loads of people's lives. Do you know if you and I stood together on this, we could present a united front and we could get this thing. We could make it happen. We could save lives; we could prevent [British National Health Service doctors and nurses] people from getting infected. We could prevent the elderly from dying.

These are studies conducted around the world in several different countries. And they're all saying the same thing. Plus there's all sorts of other evidence to show that it works. Randomized controlled trials do not need to be the be-all and end-all. But [even] based on the randomized controlled trials, it is clear that ivermectin works. It prevents deaths and it prevents harms and it improves outcomes for people ...

Continued on page 9

Andrew Hill, Continued from page 8

I can see we're getting nowhere because you have an agenda, whether you like it or not, whether you admit to it or not, you have an agenda. And the agenda is to kick this down the road as far as you can. So ... we are trying to save lives. That's what we do.

I'm a doctor and I'm going to save as many lives as I can. And I'm going to do that through getting the message [out] on ivermectin. Okay. Unfortunately, your work is going to impair that, and you seem to be able to bear the burden of many, many deaths, which I cannot do.

Lawrie then asks again: Would you tell me? I would like to know who pays you as a consultant through WHO?

Hill: It's Unitaid.

Lawrie: All right. So who helped to ... Whose conclusions are those on the review that you've done? Who is not listed as an author? Who's actually contributed?

Hill: Well, I mean, I don't really want to get into, I mean, it ... Unitaid ...

Lawrie: I think that . . . it needs to be clear. I would like to know who, who are these other voices that are in your paper that are not acknowledged? Does Unitaid have a say? Do they influence what you write?

Hill: Unitaid has a say in the conclusions of the paper. Yeah.

Lawrie: Okay. So, who is it in Unitaid, then? Who is giving you opinions on your evidence?

Hill: Well, it's just the people there. I don't ...

Lawrie: So they have a say in your conclusions.

Hill: Yeah.

Lawrie: Could you please give me a name of someone in Unitaid I could speak to, so that I can share my evidence and hope to try and persuade them to understand it?

Hill: Oh, I'll have a think about who to, to offer you with a name ... but I mean, this is very difficult because I'm, you know, I've, I've got this role where I'm supposed to produce this paper and we're in a very difficult, delicate balance ...

Lawrie: Who are these people? Who are these people saying this?

Hill: Yeah ... it's a very strong lobby ...

Lawrie: Okay. Look, I think I can see kind of a dead end, because you seem to have a whole lot of excuses, but, um, you know, that to, to justify bad research practice. So I'm really, really sorry about this, Andy.

I really, really wish, and you've explained quite clearly to me, in both what you've been saying and in your body language that you're not entirely comfortable with your conclusions, and that you're in a tricky position because of whatever influence people are having on you, and including the people who have paid you and who have basically written that conclusion for you.

Hill: You've just got to understand I'm in a difficult position. I'm trying to steer a middle ground and it's extremely hard.

Lawrie: Yeah. Middle ground. The middle ground is not a middle ground ... You've taken a position right to the other extreme calling for further trials that are going to kill people. So this will come out, and you will be culpable.

And I can't understand why you don't see that, because the evidence is there and you are not just denying it, but your work's actually actively obfuscating the truth. And this will come out. So I'm really sorry ... As I say, you seem like a nice guy, but I think you've just kind of been misled somehow.

Hill promises he will do everything in his power to get ivermectin approved if she will give him six weeks.

Hill: Well, what I hope is that this, this stalemate that we're in doesn't last very long. It lasts a matter of weeks. And I guarantee I will push for this to last for as short amount of time as possible.

Lawrie: So, how long do you think the stalemate will go on for? How long do you think you will be paid to [make] the stalemate go on?

Hill: From my side. Okay ... I think end of February, we will be there, six weeks.'

Lawrie: How many people die every day?

Hill: Oh, sure. I mean, you know, 15,000 people a day.

Lawrie: Fifteen thousand people a day times six weeks ... because at this rate, all other countries are getting ivermectin except the UK and the USA, because the UK and the USA and Europe are owned by the vaccine lobby.

Hill: My goal is to get the drug approved and to do everything I can to get it approved so that it reaches the maximum ...

Lawrie: You're not doing everything you can, because everything you can would involve saying to those people who are paying you, "I can see this prevents deaths. So I'm not going to support this conclusion any more, and I'm going to tell the truth."

Hill: What, I've got to do my responsibilities to get as much support as I can to get this drug approved as quickly as possible.

Lawrie: Well, you're not going to get it approved the way you've written that conclusion. You've actually shot yourself in the foot, and you've shot us all in the foot. All of ... everybody trying to do something good. You have actually completely destroyed it.

Hill: Okay. Well, that's where we'll, I guess we'll have to agree to differ.

Lawrie: Yeah. Well, I don't know how you sleep at night, honestly.

<https://www.worldtribune.com/researcher-andrew-hills-conflict-a-40-million-gates-foundation-grant-vs-a-half-million-human-lives/>



"We have hospitals that are almost at full capacity with people severely ill on ventilators. We have over 100,000 children, which we've never had before, in serious condition, and many on ventilators," Sotomayor claimed.

When the Supreme Court becomes this politicized, we are a nation in trouble. Your job is to protect the constitution and uphold the Bill of Rights.
DO YOUR JOB!

I've just had my 3rd Shot.

A "Booster" they call it. – How many more are they going to make us get?



Don't know.... Don't Care.
 Because I, like you probably, understand that there is a Global Pandemic and people much, much smarter than you and me have decided this is the best plan of action to avoid lots more people dying..

New York Times Editor Dies of Heart Attack Day After Moderna Booster Shot

December 27, 2021 Peter D'Abrasca

of Pfizer's Comirnaty on August 23, which predicated many vaccine mandates at the federal and state levels, that version of the vaccine remains unavailable in the United States.

Americans are stuck with Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) approved versions of Moderna's vaccine, which Tejada took as a booster, and Pfizer's EUA approved vaccine. The Janssen vaccine, which Tejada originally took, is not available in the United States.

Many Americans took the one-dose Johnson & Johnson vaccine earlier this year, but the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has stopped recommending that vaccine due to blood clotting issues.

The CDC also warns of the risk of myocarditis, an inflammation of the heart muscle which could lead to heart attack and death, after taking the Moderna or Pfizer vaccines.

<https://tennesseestar.com/2021/12/27/new-york-times-editor-dies-of-heart-attack-day-after-moderna-booster-shot/>

[Pre-ramble: On the day Tejada received his booster shot, he posted an "op-ed" style video with ominous music in the background and said the words around the photo above. This video is neither part of Peter D'Abrasca's article, nor is the photo above used]

Just a day after taking the Moderna COVID-19 booster shot, a *New York Times* editor unexpectedly died of a heart attack.

"This is Carlos's wife, Nora. It's with deepest sorrow that I have to share with you that Carlos passed away last night of a heart attack. I've lost my best friend and our kids lost a truly great dad. I will be off social media for awhile," Carlos Tejada's wife announced on his Twitter account on December 18.

This is Carlos's wife, Nora.

It's with deepest sorrow that I have to share with you that Carlos passed away last night of a heart attack. I've lost my best friend and our kids lost a truly great dad. I will be off social media for awhile.

— Carlos Tejada (@CRTEjada) [December 18, 2021](#)

"Double-vaxxed. Janssen-fueled, Moderna-boosted," Tejada said on Instagram on December 17. "Hey, Omicron: Hit me with your wet snot."

Tejada was *The Times*' Deputy Asia Editor and formerly worked at *The Wall Street Journal*. He lived in Seoul, South Korea, according to his Twitter page.

Americans are being encouraged to take a third shot of the COVID-19 vaccine as the

Omicron variant, which some say presents with much milder symptoms than prior variants, spreads rapidly.

the White House, promised that America's unvaccinated population is "looking at a **winter of severe illness and death** for yourselves, your families and the hospitals you may soon overwhelm."



~ Resident Biden

The push to get vaccinated and get a booster shot has been led by the White House, which promised that America's unvaccinated population is "looking at a winter of severe illness and death for yourselves, your families and the hospitals you may soon overwhelm."

Some cities, like Boston and New York, have implemented vaccine passport systems, largely barring the unvaccinated from public life.

Meanwhile, there is still no fully Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved vaccine available to Americans. Despite the FDA's full approval

Editor's Note: We do not rejoice in his man's death. We publish this information because he, like countless others, have died without so much as a mention of his death or the circumstances surrounding his death being mentioned on the commercial media. This is one more Crime Against Humanity that is being overlooked and under reported. Stay vigilant! Pay Attention! He was only 49 years young and he trusted people who were "much, much smarter than you and me."



Donald J. Trump 01/09/22

ICYMI: "Sorry, Democrats: Civil War isn't likely—even if you're trying to provoke one"

Let's set the scene: American democracy is said to be under threat from a political party that questions the legitimacy and outcome of a presidential election, that incites and justifies lawless "insurrectionist" actions and undermines American democratic institutions and processes, threatening the continued existence of the Constitution itself. If this state of affairs continues unabated, experts claim, the United States might well lapse into a second Civil War, as argued in Barbara F. Walter's new book "How Civil Wars Start."

Yes — that's a pretty good description of the Democratic Party. You were expecting something else around the anniversary of Jan. 6?

Let's do the checklist. A Washington Post/University of Maryland poll in 2017 found that 67% of Democrats and 69% of Hillary Clinton voters said Donald Trump was not a legitimately elected president, and Hillary herself told CBS News that Trump was not a "legitimate president" because he stole the 2016 election. Three years of contentious and debilitating investigations into what we now know was a phony Democrat-created story followed. But now that Republicans make similar claims about an anomalous election, liberals have caught a case of the vapors and say it is a "threat to democracy."

And about that "insurrection": Would that be the 1992 riots in Los Angeles that Democratic Rep. Maxine Waters *praised* with that term? What about the violent riots of the summer of 2020, which leading Democrats and much of the media called "mostly peaceful" and the legitimate voice of the people despite billions of dollars in damage and dozens of deaths from the violence? Maybe they were just following the lead of Baltimore's former Mayor Stephanie Rawlings-Blake, who declared during that city's 2015 riots that "we also gave those who wished to destroy space to do that as well."

As for the Constitution and long-established democratic processes, which party is it that argues for wholesale changes to the Constitution? That wants to pack the Supreme Court? That wants to jettison century-old rules of the Senate to make it a purely majoritarian body? Admit new states to tilt the partisan balance in Washington? What changes to the Constitution are Republicans demanding exactly? A balanced-budget amendment is about the only one — hardly a regime-shaking idea.

A certain consistency deserves to be noted here. Trump was not the first Republican president to face "The Resistance" from Democrats. It was, after all, the refusal of the Dem-

ocratic Party to abide by the results of a free election in 1860 that led to our first Civil War, so why change modes now?

The hysteria among Democrats over the shambolic riot at the Capitol a year ago reveals not only the hypocrisy of the left but also its deep insecurity, ideological hollowness and what psychologists call "projection," that is, attributing to others what is going on in your own mind.

Democrats can take a patronizing attitude toward the violence and destruction from the far left because it does not take the far left seriously, while at the same time sympathizing with the far left to some extent out of liberal guilt. But a single outburst of lawlessness from the right, foolishly tolerated if not encouraged by President Donald Trump, shakes liberals to their core not simply because it is so unexpected but because it controverts their core theory of the universe.

If you think that you are on "the side of history" but events don't cooperate with this lazy progressive narrative, you will be pitched into an existential crisis. This outlook cannot abide the fact that we have lived in a 50/50 nation for nearly 30 years now and are likely to continue to be closely divided for a long time to come. Progressive leftists cannot understand or accept this (or any) level of dissent.

The point is: The true intolerance in America resides overwhelmingly on the left today.

The left's concern trolling over a potential civil war can be seen as a sequel of their obsession with what should be called "coup porn" over the events of Jan. 6. Recall that the cities that boarded up their downtowns before the 2020 election feared violence from *Democrats* if Trump had won, as indeed occurred after the 2016 election.

The foolish Jan. 6 mob action allowed the left to flip the script and project their own toleration of violence onto Republicans, though as usual the impulse to hyperbole has taken over. If Jan. 6 hadn't happened, the left would have had to invent it. Now it is going to become the left's Saint Crispin's Day for decades to come.

Barbara Walter, perhaps the chief civil war re-enactor of the moment, confesses to being "really happy" on Jan. 6, telling the London Times recently that "this was the gift that America needed to wake up because those of us who were sounding the alarm had been getting nowhere with it." A gift? More like a grift for the left.

How exactly the QAnon shaman and other unarmed rioters could have ever succeeded in preventing Joe Biden's accession to the presidency on Jan. 20, or "toppling the Constitution," has never been seriously explained, which may be one reason not a single person arrested for Jan. 6 acts has been charged with insurrection or sedition.

As coups go, it was pathetic: There were no seizures of radio and TV stations, detention of officials, control of transportation and armories or other hallmarks of a real coup. Never mind that America's separation of powers and federalism — features of American government that the left generally dislikes and wishes to replace — make a traditional power-grabbing coup nearly impossible to succeed.

That the electoral vote count proceeded later in the day on Jan. 6 testifies to the *resilience* of American democracy, not its fragility.

The giddy talk of civil war trivializes our divisions, which are real and deep. It is hard to maintain a sense of common citizenship when we increasingly see each other as utterly alien. But projections of a new civil war are overwrought. For one thing, we lack the sectional geographical divide that was central to our actual Civil War and a single, central issue over which compromise became impossible.

Walter predicts something more like guerilla war instead of a real civil war with localized terrorist acts. (Once again we can draw on the violent history of the new left in the 1960s for a precedent — another case of leftist projection.)

It is more likely that Americans will continue the accelerating process of self-sorting. Americans are moving in large numbers to states more congenial to their political and social views (mostly from blue to red states) or forming enclaves within red and blue states.

Some of the ideological divides can be ameliorated by reinvigorating federalism: Let Vermont be Vermont, and let Idaho be Idaho. The possibility of "terrorist acts" that Walter predicts would be diminished substantially through reinvigorated federalism.

Of course we know who is against this: the very same people warning of a civil war. If a new civil war does come, it will be on account of the intransigence of the same party that started the first one.

Steven F. Hayward is a resident scholar at the Institute of Governmental Studies at UC Berkeley.

<https://nypost.com/2022/01/07/sorry-democrats-civil-war-isnt-likely-even-if-youre-trying-to-provoke-one/>

List of employers NOT requiring their employees to get vaccinated - WTF!?

1. The White House
2. Congress & Staff
3. Supreme Court
4. The CDC
5. The FDA
6. The WHO
7. Moderna
8. Pfizer BioNTech
9. USPS Ballot Services
10. Covid Test Lab Industries

+ All Illegal Immigrant Invaders
+ Countless Hidden Elite Figures

Because these folks are "much, much smarter than you and me."

Jake Tapper continued from page 2.

Tapper spent several weeks working on the story with me and a team of enthusiastic ABC reporters and technicians. During his frequent conversations with me over that period, he was on fire with indignation over the Simpsonwood revelations. He acted like a journalist hoping to win an Emmy.

The day before the piece was to air, an exasperated Tapper called me to say that ABC's corporate officials ordered him to pull the story. The network's pharmaceutical advertisers were threatening to cancel their advertising.

"Corporate told us to shut it down," Tapper fumed. Tapper told me that it was the first time in his career that ABC officials had ordered him to kill a story.

ABC had advertised the Simpsonwood exposé, and its sudden cancellation disappointed an army of vaccine safety advocates and parents of injured children who deluged the network with a maelstrom of angry emails.

In response, ABC changed tack and publicly promised to air the piece. Instead, following a one-week delay, the network duplicitously aired a hastily assembled puff piece promoting vaccines and assuring listeners that mercury-laden vaccines were safe.

The new "bait and switch" segment precisely followed Pharma's talking points. "I'm putting my faith in the Institute of Medicine," ABC's obsequious medical editor, Dr. Tim Johnson, declared in closing. Two pharmaceutical advertisements bracketed the story.

After that piece aired, I called Jake to complain. He neither answered nor returned my calls.

During the 16 intervening years, Pharma has returned Mr. Tapper's favor by aggressively promoting his career. Pfizer shamelessly sponsors Tapper's CNN news show, announcing its ownership of the space — and Mr. Tapper's indentured servitude — before each episode with the loaded phrase: "Brought to you by Pfizer."

Under the apparent terms of that sponsorship, CNN and Tapper provide Pfizer a platform to market its products and allow the drug company — a serial felon — to dictate content on

CNN.

This arrangement has transformed CNN's The Lead with Jake Tapper into a propaganda vehicle for Pharma and effectively reduced Mr. Tapper to the role of a drug rep — shamelessly promoting fear porn, confusion, and germophobia, and ushering his audience toward high-yield patent pharmaceuticals.

Tapper's main thrust during the pandemic has been to promote levels of public terror sufficient to indemnify all the official lies against critical thinking.

All that Pharma money naturally requires that Mr. Tapper kowtow to Dr. Fauci, and the CNN host's slavishness has helped make Tapper's show the go-to pulpit for the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) director.

It's a safe place for Dr. Fauci to hit all Jake's reliable softballs out of the park.

"The bootlicking competition at CNN is pretty nauseating," observed investigative journalist Celia Farber who has chronicled Dr. Fauci's mismanagement at NIAID for more than 25 years. "It's ruinous for both democracy and for public health."

Another journalist has compared Tapper's mortifying on-air servility toward Dr. Fauci to the adulation of a loyal and obedient canine. "It's like a dog watching a chess match," says former New York Times reporter Alex Berenson. "So much intensity and so little understanding."

Tapper has gone two years without asking Dr. Fauci a single tough question. He has covered up Fauci's involvement with Wuhan, suppressed news of vaccine injuries, gaslighted the injured, and defended every official orthodoxy on masks, lockdowns, social distancing, vaccines, remdesivir, ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine.

He has never asked about the public health, mental health, and economic costs of lockdown, about the disproportionate burdens of Dr. Fauci's policies on minorities, the working class and the global poor.

He has never asked Dr. Fauci to explain why countries and states that refused Dr. Fauci's prescription have consistently experienced

dramatically better health outcomes. For example, why are U.S. death rates 1,000x the death rates of African countries like Nigeria and Indian states that widely use hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin? Mr. Tapper simply never allows contrary views on his

show.

He continues to extol COVID vaccines as a miracle technology that individuals can take four times and still both get and spread the illness.

"He never calls Dr. Fauci on his vacillating science-free pronouncements," said Farber. "Dr. Fauci seems to be able to paralyze the curiosity features of Tapper's brain."

Tapper has to ask Dr. Fauci why, under his direction, America suffered the world's highest body count. With 4.2% of the global population, our nation suffered 15% of COVID deaths.

Instead, he functions as high priest of every official orthodoxy, working to deify Dr. Fauci and anoint all his absurd, vacillating and contradictory pronouncements with papal infallibility. The sure way to earn Tapper's indignation is to criticize Dr. Fauci.

Here are just a few examples of Mr. Tapper's brazen deceptions:

On Feb. 2, 2021, Tapper "debunked" claims that baseball great Hank Aaron may have died from a COVID shot. The home run king submitted to a CDC-staged press conference 17 days earlier. Tapper assured his audience that the Fulton County coroner had determined Aaron to have died from "natural causes."

When the Fulton County coroner subsequently denied ever having seen Aaron's body, much less performed an autopsy, Tapper refused to correct his story.


In August 2021, Tapper gave Dr. Fauci a platform to spread the rumor that deluded Americans were poisoning themselves with a "horse medicine" called ivermectin.

In an Aug. 29, 2021 interview, Dr. Fauci told Tapper, "There's no evidence whatsoever that that works, and it could potentially have toxicity... with people who have gone to poison control centers because they've taken the drug at a ridiculous dose and wind up getting sick. There's no clinical evidence that indicates that this works."

Tapper never corrected Dr. Fauci. He never pointed out that there were by then 70 peer-reviewed studies demonstrating ivermectin's miraculous efficacy against COVID.

He didn't dispute Dr. Fauci's characterization of ivermectin as a horse medicine by noting that the drug had won both a Nobel Prize and WHO's listing as an "essential medicine" for its miraculous efficacy against human illnesses, and that people have consumed billions of doses with no significant safety signals.

Continued on page 13.



A nation can survive its fools, and even the ambitious. But it cannot survive treason from within. An enemy at the gates is less formidable, for he is known and carries his banner openly. But the traitor moves amongst those within the gate freely, his sly whispers rustling through all the alleys, heard in the very halls of government itself.

— Marcus Tullius Cicero —

AZ QUOTES

WHO Releases Plan For Global Digital Vaccine Passports Funded By Bill Gates & Rockefeller Foundation

By: The European Union Times

The World Health Organization (WHO) has released a proposal backed by two major globalist organizations that serves as a blueprint for governments to implement a worldwide vaccine passport verification system.

The document, called "Digital Documentation of COVID-19 Certificates: Vaccination Status," funded by none other than the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and the Rockefeller foundation, describes the technical guidance for governments to roll out the program to usher in a global digital ID — in the name of COVID, of course.

"This document lays out an approach for creating a signed digital version of a vaccination record for COVID-19 based on a core data set of key information to be recorded, and an approach for the digital signature. The document leverages existing free and open standards, and is driven by the ethics, use cases and requirements for Digital Documentation of COVID-19 Certificates: Vaccination Status (DDCCVS)."

"This document is therefore software-agnostic and provides a starting point for Member States to design, develop and deploy a DDCCVS solution for national use in whichever format best suits their needs (e.g. a paper card with a one-dimensional [1D] barcode or QR code stickers, or a fully functioning smartphone application developed internationally or locally)."

"The primary target audience of this document is national authorities tasked with creating or overseeing the development of a digital vaccination certificate solution for COVID-19. The document may also be useful to

government partners such as local businesses, international organizations, non-governmental organizations and trade associations that may be required to support Member States in developing or deploying a DDCCVS solution."


The DDCCVS is meant for use at schools, at work, for international travel, and for contact tracing initiatives.

The document also shows its proposed vaccine passport template, with a QR code featured on the front, but further in the app shows personal information about the number of vaccines received.

A similar vaccine passport project in the works, called CommonPass, is also backed by the Rockefeller Foundation and the World Economic Forum (WEF).

It's notable how the only groups actively pushing for global vaccine passports are also the main proponents of the so-called Great Reset, a post-human plan outlined by WEF founder Klaus Schwab which seeks to deindustrialize the West, abolish private property, introduce biometric surveillance, and move humanity to a diet of bugs in the name of fighting climate change.

Read the WHO document: Originally published at: <https://www.eutimes.net/2021/01/who-releases-plan-for-global-digital-vaccine-passports-funded-by-bill-gates-rockefeller-foundation/>



The cover of the document found at: https://www.who.int/publications/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-Digital_certificates_vaccination-2021.1

"It's notable how the only groups actively pushing for global vaccine passports are also the main proponents of the so-called Great Reset"

Nevertheless, based upon Dr. Fauci's word alone, CNN rushed on to defame and discredit the rapper and to assure the public that Minaj was wrong. Dr. Fauci, after all, had spoken!

It's easy to see how two years of such obsequious deference emboldened Dr. Fauci in November 2021 to declare that "I represent science."

There are too many other examples of Tapper's uncritical promotion of government and pharma falsehoods to even summarize. These are not harmless lies. Each of them has potentially disastrous consequences for public health.

The term "psychological projection" describes the uncanny precision with which a certain sort of person applies the very pejoratives to others that most accurately depict their own shortcomings.

But It's just a Conspiracy Theory.... Right?

"One of the saddest lessons of history is this: If we've been bamboozled long enough, we tend to reject any evidence of the bamboozle. We're no longer interested in finding out the truth. The bamboozle has captured us. It's simply too painful to acknowledge, even to ourselves, that we've been taken. Once you give a charlatan power over you, you almost never get it back."



~ Carl Sagan, *The Demon-Haunted World: Science as a Candle in the Dark*, 1997

Jake Tapper, Continued from page 12

Mr. Tapper never thought to ask Dr. Fauci if he was trying to discourage use of a cheap, effective drug that might compete with his experimental vaccines.

Instead, Tapper abjectly parroted Dr. Fauci's talking points: "Poison control centers are reporting that their calls are spiking in places like Mississippi and Oklahoma, because some Americans are trying to use an anti-parasite horse drug called ivermectin to treat coronavirus, to prevent contracting coronavirus."

It mattered not to Tapper that both Mississippi and Oklahoma officials quickly denied that anyone in their state had been hospitalized for ivermectin poisoning. Tapper never corrected his false story.

On Sept. 14, 2021, Tapper obligingly gave Dr. Fauci a platform to dispute rapper Nicki Minaj's worry that COVID vaccines may affect fertility. Dr. Fauci simply declared, "The answer to that, Jake, is a resounding no."

As usual, Tapper did not ask Dr. Fauci to cite a study to support this assertion. He never pointed out to Dr. Fauci that all of the COVID vaccine manufacturers acknowledge that their products are not tested for effects on fertility, or that recent data has shown dramatic upticks in miscarriages and pre-eclampsia in vaccinated women.

When Mr. Tapper calls me "unhinged," a "menace to public health," a "fraud," a "liar," is he falling victim to projection?

The critical functions of journalism in a democracy are to speak truth to power, relentlessly expose official corruption, and to forever maintain a posture of skepticism toward government and corporate power centers.

What Jake Tapper does is the opposite of journalism. Tapper, instead, aligns himself with power, and makes himself a propagandist for official narratives and a servile publicist for powerful elites and government technocrats.

No wonder his fury at those who challenge their narratives.

<https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/jake-tapper-sold-soul-pharma-rfk-jr/>

REAL PANDEMICS DON'T REQUIRE
J.T. KOWALSKI

EXAGGERATED VIRUS MODELS

FAULTY TESTING KITS

RIGGED RESULTS

CDC'S GUIDANCE FOR CERTIFYING COVID-19 DEATHS

...a reasonable degree of certainty, it is acceptable to report COVID-19 on a death certificate as "probable" or "presumed."

& FALSIFIED DEATH CERTIFICATES

BUT PSYCHOLOGICAL OPERATIONS DO...

The Real Anthony Fauci

Bill Gates, Big Pharma, and the Global War on Democracy and Public Health

Robert F. Kennedy Jr.
NEW YORK TIMES BESTSELLING AUTHOR

Children's Health Defense

#1 on AMAZON, and a NEW YORK TIMES, WALL STREET JOURNAL, USA TODAY and PUBLISHERS WEEKLY NATIONAL BESTSELLER

Over 500,000 copies sold.

Menstrual Cyclicity Is An Overt Sign of Health and Fertility

Michael P Senger @MichaelPSenger

Reminder: Internationally acclaimed author and investigative journalist Naomi Wolf was banned from Twitter for reporting this exact information now being reported by New York Times nearly a year ago—you know, before billions of people were given these COVID vaccines.

Michael P Senger @MichaelPSenger · Jan 7

So to clarify, the COVID shots are widely known to sometimes disrupt women's menstrual cycles—as reported here by the New York Times—but we're mandating them for all women and girls over the age of 5 regardless?
[nytimes.com/2022/01/06/hea...](https://www.nytimes.com/2022/01/06/health/covid-vaccine-menstrual-cycle.html)

1:39 PM · Jan 7, 2022 · Twitter Web App

Sean Davis @seanmdav

Aren't you the same FBI that illegally spied on MLK, sought sex tapes of him, leaked the information, and then tried to bully MLK into killing himself?

FBI @FBI · 13h

Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. once said, "Life's most persistent and urgent question is, 'What are you doing for others?'" This #MLKDay2022 and every day, the #FBI remains dedicated to service and committed to protecting our communities.

Concerns about a possible association between coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccination and abnormal menstrual cycles may lead to vaccine hesitancy. Unfortunately, clinical trials of the current COVID-19 vaccines did not collect menstrual cycle outcomes postvaccine.^{1,4} VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System) does not actively collect information regarding menstrual cycles, and, by May 2021, only a small number of individuals (fewer than

200) had self-reported a menstrual-related issue to VAERS.⁵ Social media reports suggest menstrual disturbances are much more common but that these disturbances appear to be temporary.^{6,7} The lack of population-level, prospective evidence about the relationship of COVID-19 vaccination and menstrual cycles limits our ability to sufficiently address these concerns and to counsel individuals who menstruate about what to expect with vaccination. Read the article at

https://journals.lww.com/greenjournal/Fulltext/9900/Association_Between_Menstrual_Cycle_Length_and.357.aspx

Imagine a war in which the majority of one side didn't know they were at war, ridiculed those that did, and were blindly obedient to their enemy.

If you still think those masks are protecting you from ANYTHING, you're not following the SCIENCE!



"THE PEOPLE WHO RULE YOU KNOW THAT IF YOU WON'T FIGHT FOR THE RIGHT TO BREATHE PROPERLY....THE SKY'S THE LIMIT FOR YOUR PERPETUAL ENSLAVEMENT."

JASON CHRISTOFF
WWW.JCHRISTOFF.COM

Take Back Your Freedom!



Consider contemporary Venezuela. By 2010, the once well-off oil-exporting country was mired in a self-created mess. Food became scarce, crime ubiquitous.

Radical socialism, nationalization, corruption, jailing opponents, and the destruction of constitutional norms were the culprits.

Between 2009 and 2016, a once relatively stable Greece nearly became a Third World country. So did Great Britain in its socialist days of the 1970s.

Joe Biden's young presidency may already be leading the United States into a similar meltdown.

Hard Left "woke" ideology has all but obliterated the idea of a border. Millions of impoverished foreigners are entering the United States illegally—and during a pandemic without either COVID-19 tests or vaccinations.

The health bureaucracies have lost credibility as official communiques on masks, herd and acquired immunity, vaccinations, and comorbidities apparently change and adjust to perceived political realities.

After decades of improving race relations, America is regressing into a premodern tribal society.

Crime soars. Inflation roars. Meritocracy is libeled and so we are governed more by ideology and tribe.

The soaring prices of the stuff of life—fuel, food, housing, health care, transportation—are strangling the middle class.

Millions stay home, content to be paid by the state not to work. Supply shortages and empty shelves are the new norm.

Nineteenth century-style train robberies are back. So is 1970s urban violence, replete with looting, carjackings, and random murdering of the innocent.

After the Afghanistan debacle, we have returned to the dark days following defeat in Vietnam, when U.S. deterrence abroad was likewise shattered, and global terrorism and instability were the norms abroad.

Who could have believed a year ago that America would now beg Saudi Arabia and Russia to pump more oil—as we pulled our own oil leases, and canceled pipelines and oil fields?

Our path to systems collapse is not due to an earthquake, climate change, a nuclear war, or even the COVID-19 pandemic.

Instead, most of our maladies are self-inflicted. They are the direct result of woke ideologies that are both cruel and antithetical to traditional American pragmatism.

Hard-Left district attorneys in our major cities refuse to charge thousands of arrested criminals—relying instead on bankrupt social justice theories.

Law enforcement has been arbitrarily defunded and libeled. Police deterrence is lost, so looters, vandals, thieves, and murderers more freely prey on the public.

"Modern monetary theory" deludes ideologues that printing trillions of dollars can enrich the public, even as the ensuing inflation is making people poorer.

"Critical race theory" absurdly dictates that current "good" racism can correct the effects of past bad racism. A once tolerant, multiracial nation is resembling the factionalism of the former Yugoslavia.

Continued on page 16

Is America Heading for a Systems Collapse?

Victor David Hanson, Jan 19, 2022

In modern times, as in ancient Rome, several nations have suffered a "systems collapse." The term describes the sudden inability of once prosperous populations to continue with what had ensured the good life as they knew it.

Abruptly, the population cannot buy, or even find, once plentiful necessities. They feel their streets are unsafe. Laws go unenforced or are enforced inequitably. Everyday things stop working. The government turns from reliable to capricious if not hostile.

Nothing says DESPERATION quite like "Don't think for yourself." You awake is their greatest Fear.

CNN Suggests That Doing Your Own Research Is 'Idiotic' and Only They Can Tell You What's Fact

By Brandon Morse | Jan 18, 2022 1:00 PM ET

https://redstate.com/brandon_morse/2022/01/18/cnn-suggests-that-doing-your-own-research-is-idiotic-and-only-they-can-tell-you-whats-fact-n508460

I won't be remembered as a woman who kept her mouth shut.
I'm okay with that.



Find your local caucus meetings and vote out your RINOs. American the Beautiful needs YOU Now!



Restoring our country begins at the local level!

Park County Patriots presents

Caucus Training

Fairplay: 6:00-7:30 pm, Thursday, February 3rd, 2022
The American Legion, 601 Clark St., Fairplay

Bailey: 6:30-8:00 pm, Friday, February 11th, 2022
Crow Hill Bible Church, 75 Bull Dogger Drive, Bailey


County Commissioner, Amy Mitchell, is offering Caucus Training for all Republicans and Independents. Come to gain a clear understanding of the function and purpose of a caucus, how to run for local and state positions, meet local people with similar interests and begin to influence the direction of our country.

All Park County Precinct Caucuses are held March 1st, 2022

Caucus Training will help you:

- 1) identify your precinct number and March 1st caucus location
- 2) learn to write and support a Resolution
- 3) Learn how to have a voice in electing candidates to the primary ballot
- 4) support Election Integrity and Constitutional principles!

Hosted by SPO, www.southparkoutsiders.com. For questions contact Matt, 970-596-9283, mattetzen@gmail.com



zens fear rather than respect Washington grandees who have weaponized politics ahead of public service.

Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Mark Milley, Attorney General Merrick Garland, former FBI heads like James Comey and Andrew McCabe, retired CIA director John Brennan, and Anthony Fauci head of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases—politicalized and vastly exceeded their professional purviews.

They sounded off in public fora as if they were elected legislators up for reelection. Some lied under oath. Others demonized critics. Most sought to become media darlings.

This governmental freefall is overseen by a tragically bewildered, petulant, and incompetent president. In his confusion, an increasingly unpopular Joe Biden seems to believe his divisive chaos is working, belittling his political opponents as racist Confederate rebels.

As we head into the 2022 midterm elections, who will stop our descent into collective poverty, division—and self-inflicted madness?

<https://amgreatness.com/2022/01/19/is-america-heading-for-a-systems-collapse/>

Is America, continued from page 15

The culprit again is a callous woke ideology that posits little value for individuals, prioritizing only the so-called collective agenda.

Woke's trademark is "equity," or a forced equality of result. Practically, we are becoming a comic-book version of victims and victimizers, with woke opportunists playacting as our superheroes.

Strangest in 2021 was the systematic attack on our ancient institutions, as we scapegoated our ancestors for our own incompetencies.

The woke have waged a veritable war

against the 233-year-old Electoral College and the right of states to set their own balloting laws in national elections, the 180-year-old filibuster, the 150-year-old nine-person Supreme Court, and the 60-year-old, 50-state union.

The U.S. military, Department of Justice, FBI, CIA, Center for Disease Control, and National Institutes of Health until recently were revered. Their top echelons were staffed by career professionals mostly immune to the politics of the day.

Not now. These bureaus and agencies are losing public confidence and support. Citi-